IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 7618 of 2005**

Between:

Kolli Venkata Subba Rao, S/o. Venaktaratnam,
Teacher's Colony, Vijayawada. **..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The Commissioner of Police, Urban, Vijayawada City,
Krishna District.
2 The Station House Officer, Patamata Police Station,
Vijayawada, Krishna District.
3 The Registrar of Companies, Kendryan Sadan,
Koti, Hyderabad.
4 The Registrar of Chits, Kalanagar, Near Benz Circle,
Vijayawada, Krishna District.
5 Peddu Sankara Rao S/o. Sriramulu,
Shubhadarsi Chit Fund Pvt. Ltd., Labbipet, Vijayawada.
6 Kommuri Patel, S/o. Narasaiah,
Shubhadarsi Chit Fund Pvt. Ltd., Sailok Complex, Temple Street, Labbipet, Vijayawada.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring in action of the respondents more particularly that of respondents 1 to 4 in not initiating appropriate action against the respondents 5 and 6 basing on the complaints made by the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary and in violation of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 4 to initiate appropriate action by taking into consideration the express complaints dt. 27-12-2004 and 2.04.2005 made by the petitioner herein, and pass such other order or orders.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. KUNCHEAMMAHESWARA RAO**

**Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: GP FOR HOME**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.4 : Mr. K. Narahari**

**Counsel for the Respondents 5 & 6 : None appeared.**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following :**

***ORAL ORDER***:

Heard both sides. Perused the material placed before me.

The grievance of the petitioner is that in spite of his lodging complaints with the second respondent on
27-12-2004 and 2-4-2005, alleging that the respondents 5 and 6 had resorted to the offences of forgery etc., no action has been taken so far. Hence the present writ petition.

It is needless to say that if any complaint is lodged, irrespective of its genuineness, the police are under obligation to investigate into the matter and proceed in accordance with law.

Hence, suffice it to close the writ petition with a direction to the official respondents to initiate appropriate action on the complaint, if any, being lodged by the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible and proceed in accordance with law.

With the above observations and directions, the writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission. No costs.

---

kvsn 07-04-2005

To

1 The Commissioner of Police, Urban, Vijayawada City,
Krishna District.
2 The Station House Officer, Patamata Police Station,
Vijayawada, Krishna District.
3 The Registrar of Companies, Kendryan Sadan,
Koti, Hyderabad.
4 The Registrar of Chits, Kalanagar, Near Benz Circle,
Vijayawada, Krishna District.